

Introduction to RBM package

Dongmei Li

April 26, 2022

Clinical and Translational Science Institute, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, NY 14642-0708

Contents

1 Overview	1
2 Getting started	2
3 RBM_T and RBM_F functions	2
4 Ovarian cancer methylation example using the RBM_T function	6

1 Overview

This document provides an introduction to the RBM package. The RBM package executes the resampling-based empirical Bayes approach using either permutation or bootstrap tests based on moderated t-statistics through the following steps.

- Firstly, the RBM package computes the moderated t-statistics based on the observed data set for each feature using the lmFit and eBayes function.
- Secondly, the original data are permuted or bootstrapped in a way that matches the null hypothesis to generate permuted or bootstrapped resamples, and the reference distribution is constructed using the resampled moderated t-statistics calculated from permutation or bootstrap resamples.
- Finally, the p-values from permutation or bootstrap tests are calculated based on the proportion of the permuted or bootstrapped moderated t-statistics that are as extreme as, or more extreme than, the observed moderated t-statistics.

Additional detailed information regarding resampling-based empirical Bayes approach can be found elsewhere (Li et al., 2013).

2 Getting started

The RBM package can be installed and loaded through the following R code. Install the RBM package with:

```
> if (!requireNamespace("BiocManager", quietly=TRUE))
+   install.packages("BiocManager")
> BiocManager::install("RBM")
```

Load the RBM package with:

```
> library(RBM)
```

3 RBM_T and RBM_F functions

There are two functions in the RBM package: `RBM_T` and `RBM_F`. Both functions require input data in the matrix format with rows denoting features and columns denoting samples. `RBM_T` is used for two-group comparisons such as study designs with a treatment group and a control group. `RBM_F` can be used for more complex study designs such as more than two groups or time-course studies. Both functions need a vector for group notation, i.e., "1" denotes the treatment group and "0" denotes the control group. For the `RBM_F` function, a contrast vector need to be provided by users to perform pairwise comparisons between groups. For example, if the design has three groups (0, 1, 2), the `aContrast` parameter will be a vector such as ("X1-X0", "X2-X1", "X2-X0") to denote all pairwise comparisons. Users just need to add an extra "X" before the group labels to do the contrasts.

- Examples using the `RBM_T` function: `normdata` simulates a standardized gene expression data and `unifdata` simulates a methylation microarray data. The p -values from the `RBM_T` function could be further adjusted using the `p.adjust` function in the `stats` package through the Benjamini-Hochberg method.

```
> library(RBM)
> normdata <- matrix(rnorm(1000*6, 0, 1),1000,6)
> mydesign <- c(0,0,0,1,1,1)
> myresult <- RBM_T(normdata,mydesign,100,0.05)
> summary(myresult)
```

	Length	Class	Mode
<code>ordfit_t</code>	1000	-none-	numeric
<code>ordfit_pvalue</code>	1000	-none-	numeric
<code>ordfit_beta0</code>	1000	-none-	numeric
<code>ordfit_beta1</code>	1000	-none-	numeric
<code>permutation_p</code>	1000	-none-	numeric
<code>bootstrap_p</code>	1000	-none-	numeric

```
> sum(myresult$permutation_p<=0.05)
```

```

[1] 19

> which(myresult$permutation_p<=0.05)

[1] 1 40 88 121 206 225 235 254 322 350 364 440 550 565 690 739 744 794 933

> sum(myresult$bootstrap_p<=0.05)

[1] 19

> which(myresult$bootstrap_p<=0.05)

[1] 34 52 72 74 101 146 206 209 254 275 365 492 556 747 810 852 876 947 963

> permutation_adj_p <- p.adjust(myresult$permutation_p, "BH")
> sum(permutation_adj_p<=0.05)

[1] 8

> bootstrap_adj_p <- p.adjust(myresult$bootstrap_p, "BH")
> sum(bootstrap_adj_p<=0.05)

[1] 0

> unifdata <- matrix(runif(1000*7,0.10, 0.95), 1000, 7)
> mydesign2 <- c(0,0,0, 1,1,1,1)
> myresult2 <- RBM_T(unifdata,mydesign2,100,0.05)
> sum(myresult2$permutatioin_p<=0.05)

[1] 0

> sum(myresult2$bootstrap_p<=0.05)

[1] 44

> which(myresult2$bootstrap_p<=0.05)

[1] 1 44 62 100 155 158 173 233 247 278 351 364 366 377 384 393 394 396 406
[20] 485 496 533 557 561 604 608 617 653 658 668 677 686 694 699 704 730 751 780
[39] 796 825 835 875 901 904

> bootstrap2_adj_p <- p.adjust(myresult2$bootstrap_p, "BH")
> sum(bootstrap2_adj_p<=0.05)

[1] 0

```

- Examples using the RBM_F function: normdata_F simulates a standardized gene expression data and unifdata_F simulates a methylation microarray data. In both examples, we were interested in pairwise comparisons.

```

> normdata_F <- matrix(rnorm(1000*9,0,2), 1000, 9)
> mydesign_F <- c(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2)
> aContrast <- c("X1-X0", "X2-X1", "X2-X0")
> myresult_F <- RBM_F(normdata_F, mydesign_F, aContrast, 100, 0.05)
> summary(myresult_F)

              Length Class  Mode
ordfit_t      3000   -none-  numeric
ordfit_pvalue 3000   -none-  numeric
ordfit_beta1  3000   -none-  numeric
permutation_p 3000   -none-  numeric
bootstrap_p   3000   -none-  numeric

> sum(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 1]<=0.05)

[1] 49

> sum(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 2]<=0.05)

[1] 54

> sum(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 3]<=0.05)

[1] 58

> which(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 1]<=0.05)

[1] 31 57 59 60 118 126 145 151 152 164 171 181 207 212 239 247 281 286 302
[20] 359 365 367 404 409 423 427 432 442 473 475 481 496 627 687 711 743 749 762
[39] 795 805 847 873 889 901 923 931 933 935 968

> which(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 2]<=0.05)

[1] 30 42 55 57 60 127 133 151 152 164 168 181 198 212 239 247 281 286 296
[20] 302 359 365 385 398 404 423 427 432 475 478 496 582 613 627 666 675 711 731
[39] 739 743 749 762 778 795 889 901 908 923 931 933 935 957 968 983

> which(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 3]<=0.05)

[1] 31 55 57 59 60 118 126 145 151 152 164 171 181 207 223 239 247 281 286
[20] 321 359 365 367 398 404 420 423 427 432 442 458 474 475 478 481 496 613 675
[39] 711 731 749 762 795 847 873 889 901 908 914 923 931 935 953 957 968 970 983
[58] 996

> con1_adjp <- p.adjust(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 1], "BH")
> sum(con1_adjp<=0.05/3)

[1] 9

```

```

> con2_adj_p <- p.adjust(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 2], "BH")
> sum(con2_adj_p<=0.05/3)

[1] 10

> con3_adj_p <- p.adjust(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 3], "BH")
> sum(con3_adj_p<=0.05/3)

[1] 6

> which(con2_adj_p<=0.05/3)

[1] 57 151 152 181 239 432 795 889 901 923

> which(con3_adj_p<=0.05/3)

[1] 239 281 889 923 931 935

> unifdata_F <- matrix(runif(1000*18, 0.15, 0.98), 1000, 18)
> mydesign2_F <- c(rep(0, 6), rep(1, 6), rep(2, 6))
> aContrast <- c("X1-X0", "X2-X1", "X2-X0")
> myresult2_F <- RBM_F(unifdata_F, mydesign2_F, aContrast, 100, 0.05)
> summary(myresult2_F)

              Length Class  Mode
ordfit_t      3000   -none- numeric
ordfit_pvalue 3000   -none- numeric
ordfit_beta1  3000   -none- numeric
permutation_p 3000   -none- numeric
bootstrap_p   3000   -none- numeric

> sum(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 1]<=0.05)

[1] 59

> sum(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 2]<=0.05)

[1] 51

> sum(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 3]<=0.05)

[1] 62

> which(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 1]<=0.05)

[1] 11 34 38 81 107 137 157 162 169 177 180 250 253 261 266 278 280 285 293
[20] 309 324 329 354 371 419 457 482 501 513 514 515 521 524 545 547 566 588 593
[39] 641 665 686 691 697 748 750 772 813 819 824 830 843 852 886 904 913 941 950
[58] 960 975

```

```

> which(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 2]<=0.05)

 [1] 11 34 38 107 137 169 176 177 180 261 266 278 280 285 327 329 370 371 419
[20] 457 482 492 513 514 524 545 566 573 588 593 686 691 697 700 710 727 748 750
[39] 795 798 813 830 843 852 886 913 941 950 960 966 975

> which(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 3]<=0.05)

 [1] 11 34 38 107 137 157 169 177 180 250 252 261 266 278 280 285 317 324 327
[20] 329 354 371 419 457 482 492 499 513 514 515 521 524 545 573 588 593 670 685
[39] 686 687 691 697 700 727 741 748 750 783 795 798 824 830 843 846 858 873 886
[58] 904 913 915 960 975

> con21_adj_p <- p.adjust(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 1], "BH")
> sum(con21_adj_p<=0.05/3)

 [1] 6

> con22_adj_p <- p.adjust(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 2], "BH")
> sum(con22_adj_p<=0.05/3)

 [1] 7

> con23_adj_p <- p.adjust(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 3], "BH")
> sum(con23_adj_p<=0.05/3)

 [1] 8

```

4 Ovarian cancer methylation example using the RBM_T function

Two-group comparisons are the most common contrast in biological and biomedical field. The ovarian cancer methylation example is used to illustrate the application of RBM_T in identifying differentially methylated loci. The ovarian cancer methylation example is taken from the genome-wide DNA methylation profiling of United Kingdom Ovarian Cancer Population Study (UKOPS). This study used Illumina Infinium 27k Human DNA methylation Beadchip v1.2 to obtain DNA methylation profiles on over 27,000 CpGs in whole blood cells from 266 ovarian cancer women and 274 age-matched healthy controls. The data are downloaded from the NCBI GEO website with access number GSE19711. For illustration purpose, we chose the first 1000 loci in 8 randomly selected women with 4 ovarian cancer cases (pre-treatment) and 4 healthy controls. The following codes show the process of generating significant differential DNA methylation loci using the RBM_T function and presenting the results for further validation and investigations.

```

> system.file("data", package = "RBM")

 [1] "/tmp/Rtmp91e2Ln/Rinst2c85715cfd7785/RBM/data"

> data(ovarian_cancer_methylation)
> summary(ovarian_cancer_methylation)

```

```

      IlmnID      Beta      exmdata2[, 2]      exmdata3[, 2]
cg00000292: 1  Min.   :0.01058  Min.   :0.01187  Min.   :0.009103
cg00002426: 1  1st Qu.:0.04111  1st Qu.:0.04407  1st Qu.:0.041543
cg00003994: 1  Median :0.08284  Median :0.09531  Median :0.087042
cg00005847: 1  Mean    :0.27397  Mean    :0.28872  Mean    :0.283729
cg00006414: 1  3rd Qu.:0.52135  3rd Qu.:0.59032  3rd Qu.:0.558575
cg00007981: 1  Max.    :0.97069  Max.    :0.96937  Max.    :0.970155
(Other)    :994      NA's    :4
exmdata4[, 2]  exmdata5[, 2]  exmdata6[, 2]  exmdata7[, 2]
Min.   :0.01019  Min.   :0.01108  Min.   :0.01937  Min.   :0.01278
1st Qu.:0.04092  1st Qu.:0.04059  1st Qu.:0.05060  1st Qu.:0.04260
Median :0.09042  Median :0.08527  Median :0.09502  Median :0.09362
Mean    :0.28508  Mean    :0.28482  Mean    :0.27348  Mean    :0.27563
3rd Qu.:0.57502  3rd Qu.:0.57300  3rd Qu.:0.52099  3rd Qu.:0.52240
Max.    :0.96658  Max.    :0.97516  Max.    :0.96681  Max.    :0.95974
      NA's    :1
exmdata8[, 2]
Min.   :0.01357
1st Qu.:0.04387
Median :0.09282
Mean    :0.28679
3rd Qu.:0.57217
Max.    :0.96268

```

```

> ovarian_cancer_data <- ovarian_cancer_methylation[, -1]
> label <- c(1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0)
> diff_results <- RBM_T(aData=ovarian_cancer_data, vec_trt=label, repetition=100, alpha=0.05)
> summary(diff_results)

```

```

      Length Class  Mode
ordfit_t      1000 -none- numeric
ordfit_pvalue 1000 -none- numeric
ordfit_beta0  1000 -none- numeric
ordfit_beta1  1000 -none- numeric
permutation_p 1000 -none- numeric
bootstrap_p   1000 -none- numeric

```

```

> sum(diff_results$ordfit_pvalue<=0.05)

```

```

[1] 45

```

```

> sum(diff_results$permutation_p<=0.05)

```

```

[1] 28

```

```

> sum(diff_results$bootstrap_p<=0.05)

```

```
[1] 59
```

```
> ordfit_adjp <- p.adjust(diff_results$ordfit_pvalue, "BH")  
> sum(ordfit_adjp<=0.05)
```

```
[1] 0
```

```
> perm_adjp <- p.adjust(diff_results$permutation_p, "BH")  
> sum(perm_adjp<=0.05)
```

```
[1] 2
```

```
> boot_adjp <- p.adjust(diff_results$bootstrap_p, "BH")  
> sum(boot_adjp<=0.05)
```

```
[1] 7
```

```
> diff_list_perm <- which(perm_adjp<=0.05)  
> diff_list_boot <- which(boot_adjp<=0.05)  
> sig_results_perm <- cbind(ovarian_cancer_methylation[diff_list_perm, ], diff_results$ordfit_t[diff_list_perm, ])  
> print(sig_results_perm)
```

	IlmnID	Beta	exmdata2[, 2]	exmdata3[, 2]	exmdata4[, 2]
245	cg00224508	0.04479948	0.04972043	0.04152814	0.04189373
764	cg00730260	0.90471270	0.90542290	0.91002680	0.91258610
	exmdata5[, 2]	exmdata6[, 2]	exmdata7[, 2]	exmdata8[, 2]	
245	0.04208405	0.05284988	0.03775905	0.03955271	
764	0.90575890	0.88760470	0.90756300	0.90946790	
	diff_results\$ordfit_t[diff_list_perm]				
245	1.962457				
764	-1.808081				
	diff_results\$permutation_p[diff_list_perm]				
245	0				
764	0				

```
> sig_results_boot <- cbind(ovarian_cancer_methylation[diff_list_boot, ], diff_results$ordfit_t[diff_list_boot, ])  
> print(sig_results_boot)
```

	IlmnID	Beta	exmdata2[, 2]	exmdata3[, 2]	exmdata4[, 2]
26	cg00024812	0.04783452	0.05005079	0.04229271	0.03882523
200	cg00183916	0.03525946	0.03984548	0.02765822	0.02789838
259	cg00234961	0.04192170	0.04321576	0.05707140	0.05327565
437	cg00424946	0.04122172	0.04325330	0.03339863	0.02876798
882	cg00858899	0.11427700	0.11919540	0.07690343	0.08321229
911	cg00888479	0.07388961	0.07361080	0.10149800	0.09985076
928	cg00901493	0.03737166	0.03903724	0.04684618	0.04981432
	exmdata5[, 2]	exmdata6[, 2]	exmdata7[, 2]	exmdata8[, 2]	

26	0.04305262	0.04834676	0.04380647	0.04187303
200	0.03034811	0.04302129	0.02753873	0.03067437
259	0.04030003	0.03996053	0.05086962	0.05445672
437	0.03353116	0.03719167	0.03096761	0.03234779
882	0.08961409	0.10730660	0.09203980	0.08726349
911	0.08633986	0.06765189	0.09070268	0.12417730
928	0.04490690	0.04204062	0.05050039	0.05268215

diff_results\$ordfit_t[diff_list_boot]

26	1.731637
200	2.272449
259	-4.052697
437	2.102892
882	3.179415
911	-3.621731
928	-2.716443

diff_results\$bootstrap_p[diff_list_boot]

26	0
200	0
259	0
437	0
882	0
911	0
928	0