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Motivation

Usability

Solutions
The Ranges infrastructure is an incubator

Insight incubation

- Data Analysis
- Method Prototyping
- Platform Integration

- Should be accessible to the average Bioconductor user
Is the transition happening?

- From a typical package submission:
  Imports: checkmate, dplyr, ggplot2, tidyr

- A typical initial response:

```markdown
@hpages will review this package, but I note that it makes no use of other Bioconductor packages, including standard ways of representing genomic coordinates (GRanges from the GenomicRanges package) and experimental data (SummarizedExperiment class and package). Please update your package to work with these objects, so that Bioconductor users may more easily and robustly interoperate with your package.
```
Why not?

- Education?
- Documentation?
- The software?
  - It all starts here
Aspects of software quality: the ilities

- Modularility
- Maintainability
- Extensibility
- Scalability
- Accuracy
- Portability
- Flexibility
- Compatibility
- Deployability
- Testability
- Stability
- Upgradability
Aspects of software quality: the ilities

Modularity
- Interoperability
- Maintainability
- Extensibility
- Scalability
- Portability

Evolvability
- Flexibility
- Compatibility
- Accuracy
- Learnability
- Discoverability
- Understandability

Reliability
- Deployability
- Stability
- Testability
- Upgradability
- Predictability
- Simplicity

Usability
Cognitive Dimensions of Notations

- Many are interrelated and in balance with each other.
- Guide for evaluating usability and as a framework for discussing interface design trade-offs.
Green’s cognitive dimensions

- Abstraction gradient
- Closeness of mapping
- Consistency
- Diffuseness
- Error-proneness
- Hard mental operations
- Hidden dependencies
- Provisionality
- Premature commitment
- Progressive evaluation
- Role-expressiveness
- Secondary notation
- Viscosity (robustness)
- Visibility
Abstraction

Procedural abstraction
A compound operation that enables the user tell the computer what to do without telling it how to do it.

Data abstraction
"A methodology that enables us to isolate how a compound data object is used from the details of how it is constructed from more primitive data objects"

Structure and Interpretation of Computer Programs (1979)

- We can implement data abstractions using a set of procedures (constructors and selectors) that satisfy some contract.
Abstraction gradient
Stratified design

"The notion that a complex system should be structured as a sequence of levels that are described using a sequence of languages"

Structure and Interpretation of Computer Programs (1979)
Rle: Run-Length Encoded vector

User code

Vector API

Base vectors

RLE
- runLengths()
- runValues()

C arrays
Subverting abstractions
Example: finding peak summits

Find maximum of tallest peak

```r
max_pos <- which.max(cov_rle)
```

Find the summit range of the peak

```r
max_run <- findRun(cov_rle, max_pos)
summit <- ranges(cov_rle)[max_run]
```
The GRanges gradient

- Generic data.frame
- Tidy data.frame
- GRanges
- BED file
The GRanges gradient
Closeness of mapping

Relates to the mapping from the user’s mental model of the data and processes to their computational representations.

- For genomic data, the user is thinking in biological terms, so our data structures should embed biological semantics.
GRanges is out of alignment with the user
Tightening the cognitive link is the job of the user

- Explicitly declaring semantics:
  - Helps the software do the right thing
  - Helps the user be more *expressive*

- Developers should provide the necessary tools
Tightening the cognitive link is the job of the user

- Explicitly declaring semantics:
  - Helps the software do the right thing
  - Helps the user be more expressive
- Developers should provide the necessary tools
Consistency

Refers to consistency of the mappings between the mental and computational models.

- Bioconductor is:
  - Internally consistent
  - Consistent with base R
  - Inconsistent with fluent, tidyverse-style APIs
Diffuseness (vs expressiveness)

- Relates to the information density of the code and how well it communicates the *intent* of the programmer
- Enable the user to convey more meaning with less code
- Terseness for its own sake makes code obscure, difficult to unpack
- For genomic data, we want the user to express computations in terms of the biology
findOverlaps() API

Joining by overlaps is currently a diffuse operation:

```r
hits <- findOverlaps(query, subject)
query$variable <- extractList(subject$variable,
                             as(hits, "List"))
joined <- expand(query, "variable")
```

Why not abstract that to something like:

```r
joined <- overlap_join(query, subject, "variable")
```
import() API

Importing a file with rtracklayer is overly terse and abstract:

```r
obj <- import(file) # what sort of data is this?
```

A bit better:

```r
obj <- import.bed(file)
```

"Expert programmers know how to choose the level of abstraction appropriate to their task".
Hard mental operations

How hard the user has to think about things other than the motivating task

**Classic example**

Optimizing using R vector operations when an algorithm is more intuitively expressed with loops
A Random Walk

- Simulate $n$ steps from a 2-dimensional random walk

- How would you write the code?
Naive Code

```r
rw2d = function(n = 100) {
  x = numeric(n)
  y = numeric(n)

  for(i in 2:n) {
    # Forward or backward?
    if (runif(1) > .5)
      delta = 1
    else
      delta = -1

    # Horizontal or vertical?
    if (runif(1) > .5) {
      x[i] = x[i-1] + delta
      y[i] = y[i-1]
    } else {
      x[i] = x[i-1]
      y[i] = y[i-1] + delta
    }

  }

  list(x = x, y = y)
}
```

Ross Ihaka’s Vectorized Code

```r
1 rw2d_vectorized = function(n = 100) {
2     # Sample from four separate directions
3     xsteps = c(-1, 1, 0, 0)
4     ysteps = c(0, 0, -1, 1)
5     dir = sample(1:4, n - 1, replace = TRUE)
6     x = c(0, cumsum(xsteps[dir]))
7     y = c(0, cumsum(ysteps[dir]))
8     list(x = x, y = y)
9 }
```

- Fast
- Not intuitive
- Doesn’t generalize
AtomicLists for data aggregation

- IRanges defines List subclasses specific to atomic vector types
  - `IntegerList`, `CharacterList`, etc
- Useful for modeling ragged data
- Implement summary functions for aggregating their elements.
  - `sum()`, `mean()`, etc
Example: comparing overlapping regions

Compute the total difference between the endpoints of overlapping pairs of ranges and find the closest for each query range.

```r
hits <- as(findOverlaps(x, y), "List")
yl <- extractList(y, hits)
dev <- abs(start(x) - start(yl)) + abs(end(x) - end(yl))
unlist(yl[phead(order(dev), 1L)])
```
Visibility (discoverability)

The ability of a user to find:

- Procedures, and what they do,
- Data structures, and what operations they support.
S4 benefits developers

- Automatically validates object integrity upon modification/construction
- Implements polymorphism through dispatch (*data-directed programming*) and inheritance
- These benefits trickle-down to users, but indirect
Users are (becoming) developers

Insight incubation

- Data Analysis
- Method Prototyping
- Platform Integration
S3/S4 dispatch challenges users

- Users want *visibility* into:
  - What happens when calling a function on a specific type of object
    - Bridge: `selectMethod(generic, sig)` vs. `generic.sig`
  - Which operations are possible on a specific type of object
    - Bridge: `methods(class)`
- Bridges are available, but still a layer of indirection

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Types</th>
<th>Types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polar</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>real-part</td>
<td>real-part-polar</td>
<td>real-part-rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imag-part</td>
<td>imag-part-polar</td>
<td>imag-part-rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnitude</td>
<td>magnitude-polar</td>
<td>magnitude-rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>angle</td>
<td>angle-polar</td>
<td>angle-rectangular</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
S4 challenges developers, and thus users

Challenges

- Debugging:
  - Dispatch layers obscure stack traces
  - Selecting a method to debug is complex
    - `debug(generic, sig = "Foo")` still harder than `debug(generic.Foo)`
  - Poor reliability due to some implementation choices
    - JMC says it is time to rewrite
  - Syntax for defining classes, generics and methods rubs people the wrong way

Impact

Lack of developer adoption leads to lack of emphasis on or even discouragement against S4 in R education
Challenges are more acute for Bioconductor users

- Heavy reliance on S4 features
  - Multiple dispatch
  - Complex class hierarchies
- Multiple abstractions at different semantic levels
  - Tidyverse has a single abstraction, just with multiple representations, mostly transparent to the user

![Diagram comparing Tidyverse and Bioconductor data structures]
Expose generics judiciously

- In principle, only accessors need to be generic
- Additional methods only needed if:
  - Data structures have different constraints relating accessor behavior
    - ncol(x) == length(x) for data.frame but not matrix
  - A method is making assumptions about how the accessors (and the data structure) are implemented (optimization)
- Those situations should be avoided
- And fixed through better abstractions
  - E.g., NROWS(), extractROWS() unify data.frame, matrix and vectors
Expose classes judiciously

- Abstract away classes that are only instantiated as intermediates
  - Hide *Hits* with high-level joins
  - Hide *RleList* with high-level coverage operation
- Still want biologically meaningful data structures
- In most cases, user only needs *GRanges(List)* and *SummarizedExperiment*
Fluent, endomorphic APIs for Bioconductor objects

- The fluent and endomorphic APIs of the tidyverse adhere to good UI practices and empirically proven to be useful
- Provide alternatives to "gets" (accessor<-(())) syntax and maybe accessors altogether
- This syntax:
  ```r
  mcols(x)$foo <- bar
  ```
- Would gain a more functional alternative:
  ```r
  mutate_mcols(x, foo=bar)
  ```
- Reservations: non-standard evaluation
A "tidy" API for Bioconductor objects

- Genomic data fits neatly into a table
- Could map tidy API onto Bioconductor data structures
- Endomorphic constraints would only apply at the "table" level
  - Stripping range information would drop to a tibble
- Mixed metaphor problem:
  - Tidy APIs are table-oriented
  - Bioconductor objects are rarely tabular
  - Even when we can wrap Bioc objects in tabular APIs, the interface will present a mash up of models
The "Query" project

- Tidy-mapping for Bioconductor objects with deferred evaluation
- Research project with Stuart Lee @ Monash w/ Di Cook
- Will be the backend for ggbio2
- Constructs an optimized execution plan
  - Pushes down operations like restriction
Example: compute coverage and find islands

Bioconductor API

```r
gr_a <- import("exons.bed")
cov <- coverage(gr_a)
ans <- GRanges(cov)
subset(ans, score > 0)
```

New Query API

```r
BEDFileQuery("exons.bed") %>% import() %>%
  compute_coverage() %>% filter(score > 0)
```

- `compute_coverage()` skips the intermediate `RleList`
- Calling `subsetByOverlaps()` would only compute coverage for the specified regions