segmentSeq: methods for detecting methylation loci and differential methylation

Thomas J. Hardcastle

May 1, 2024

1 Introduction

This vignette introduces analysis methods for data from high-throughput sequencing of bisulphite treated DNA to detect cytosine methylation. The segmentSeq package was originally designed to detect siRNA loci [1] and many of the methods developed for this can be used to detect loci of cytosine methylation from replicated (or unreplicated) sequencing data.

2 Preparation

Preparation of the segmentSeq package proceeds as in siRNA analysis. We begin by loading the segmentSeq package.

> library(segmentSeq)

Note that because the experiments that segmentSeq is designed to analyse are usually massive, we should use (if possible) parallel processing as implemented by the parallel package. If using this approach, we need to begin by define a *cluster*. The following command will use eight processors on a single machine; see the help page for 'makeCluster' for more information. If we don't want to parallelise, we can proceed anyway with a NULL cluster. Results may be slightly different depending on whether or not a cluster is used owing to the non-deterministic elements of the method.


```
> if(require("parallel"))
+ {
+         numCores <- min(8, detectCores())
+         cl <- makeCluster(numCores)
+ } else {
+         cl <- NULL
+ }</pre>
```

The segmentSeq package is designed to read in output from the YAMA (Yet Another Methylome Aligner) program. This is a perl-based package using either bowtie or bowtie2 to align bisulphite treated reads (in an unbiased manner) to a reference and identify the number of times each cytosine is identified as methylated or unmethylated. Unlike most other aligners, YAMA does not require that reads that map to more than one location are discarded, instead it reports the number of alternate matches to the reference for each cytosine. This is then used by segmentSeq to weight the observed number of methylated/un-methylated cytosines at a location. The files used here have been compressed to save space.

```
> datadir <- system.file("extdata", package = "segmentSeq")
> files <- c("short_18B_C24_C24_trim.fastq_CG_methCalls.gz",
+ "short_Sample_17A_trimmed.fastq_CG_methCalls.gz",
+ "short_13_C24_col_trim.fastq_CG_methCalls.gz",
+ "short_Sample_28_trimmed.fastq_CG_methCalls.gz")
> mD <- readMeths(files = files, dir = datadir,
+ libnames = c("A1", "A2", "B1", "B2"), replicates = c("A", "A", "B", "B"),
+ nonconversion = c(0.004777, 0.005903, 0.016514, 0.006134))</pre>
```


We can begin by plotting the distribution of methylation for these samples. The distribution can be plotted for each sample individually, or as an average across multiple samples. We can also subtract one distribution from another to visualise patterns of differential methylation on the genome.

Distributions of methylation



position

Differences between distributions



position

Figure 1: Distributions of methylation on the genome (first two million bases of chromosome 1.

Next, we process this alignmentData object to produce a segData object. This segData object contains a set of potential segments on the genome defined by the start and end points of regions of overlapping alignments in the alignmentData object. It then evaluates the number of tags that hit in each of these segments.

```
> sD <- processAD(mD, cl = cl)
```

We can now construct a segment map from these potential segments.

Segmentation by heuristic Bayesian methods

A fast method of segmentation can be achieved by assuming a binomial distribution on the data with an uninformative beta prior, and identifying those potential segments which have a sufficiently large posterior likelihood that the proportion of methylation exceeds some critical value. This value can be determined by examining the data using the 'thresholdFinder' function, but expert knowledge is likely to provide a better guess as to where methylation becomes biologically significant.

```
> thresh = 0.2
> hS <- heuristicSeg(sD = sD, aD = mD, prop = thresh, cl = cl, gap = 100, getLikes = FALSE)
GRanges object with 2955 ranges and 0 metadata columns:
         segnames
                         ranges strand
           <Rle>
                       <IRanges> <Rle>
     [1]
            Chr1
                        108-948
                       5449-5465
     [2]
            Chr1
            Chr1
                            6452
     [3]
     [4]
            Chr1
                            6520
                     7020-7034
     [5]
            Chr1
            Chr1 1993118-1993128
  [2951]
                        1993149
  [2952]
            Chr1
            Chr1
                         1993335
  [2953]
  [2954]
            Chr1
                         1994611
  [2955]
            Chr1 1994857-1994886
  seqinfo: 1 sequence from an unspecified genome; no seqlengths
An object of class "lociData"
2955 rows and 4 columns
Slot "replicates"
AABB
Slot "groups":
list()
Slot "data":
     [,1]
            [,2]
                    [,3]
                             [,4]
[1,] 247:169 175:133 165:149 28:31
[2,] 0:49
            1:86
                    23:36
                            2:2
[3,] 2:0
            5:3
                    0:3
                            0:1
[4,] 0:3
            0:9
                    2:1
                            0:0
```

```
[5,] 0:119 2:78
                   20:37
                          0:2
2950 more rows...
Slot "annotation":
data frame with 0 columns and 2955 rows
Slot "locLikelihoods" (stored on log scale):
Matrix with 2955 rows.
      A B
      1
1
         1
2
      0
         1
3
      1
          0
4
      0 1
      0 1
2951 1 1
     1 1
2952
2953
      1
          0
2954
      1
2955
Expected number of loci in each replicate group
  Α
1968 2168
```

Within a methylation locus, it is not uncommon to find completely unmethylated cytosines. If the coverage of these cytosines is too high, it is possible that these will cause the locus to be split into two or more fragments. The mergeMethSegs function can be used to overcome this splitting by merging loci with identical patterns of expression that are not separated by too great a gap. Merging in this manner is optional, but recommended.

```
> hS <- mergeMethSegs(hS, mD, gap = 5000, cl = cl)
```

We can then estimate posterior likelihoods on the defined loci by applying empirical Bayesian methods. These will not change the locus definition, but will assign likelihoods that the identified loci represent a true methylation locus in each replicate group.

```
> hSL <- lociLikelihoods(hS, mD, cl = cl)
```

Visualising loci

By one of these methods, we finally acquire an annotated methData object, with the annotations describing the co-ordinates of each segment.

We can use this methData object, in combination with the alignmentMeth object, to plot the segmented genome.




Figure 2: Methylation and identified loci on the first ten thousand bases of chromosome 1.

```
> plotMeth(mD, hSL, chr = "Chr1", limits = c(1, 50000), cap = 10)
```

Differential Methylation analysis

We can also examine the methData object for differentially methylated regions using the beta-binomial methods [2] implemented in baySeq. We first define a group structure on the data.

```
> groups(hSL) <- list(NDE = c(1,1,1,1), DE = c("A", "A", "B", "B"))
```

The methObservables function pre-calculates a set of data to improve the speed of prior and posterior estimation (at some minor memory cost).

```
> hSL <- methObservables(hSL)</pre>
```

The density function used here is a composite of the beta-binomial and a binomial distribution that accounts for the reported non-conversion rates.

```
> densityFunction(hSL) <- bbNCDist
```

We can then determine a prior distribution on the parameters of the model for the data.

```
> hSL <- getPriors(hSL, cl = cl)
```

We can then find the posterior likelihoods of the models defined in the groups structure.

```
> hSL <- getLikelihoods(hSL, cl = cl)
.</pre>
```

We can then retrieve the data for the top differentially methylated regions.

```
> topCounts(hSL, "DE")
                          end width strand
       segnames
                start
                                            A.1
                                                    A.2
                                                           B.1 B.2
NA.3932
       Chr1 1439804 1440002 199 * 1:239
                                                   0:119 102:56 11:5
          Chr1 774658 774937 280 * 0:172
Chr1 1192725 1192730 6 * 37:4
NA.3064
                                                    0:90 38:16 14:7
         Chr1 1192725 1192730 6
NA.3651
                                                    15:2 0:55 0:8
NA.3225 Chr1 888560 888590 31 * 423:90 217:56 1:37 0:7
NA.4221 Chrl 1733868 1733987 120
                                      * 0:104
                                                  0:150 76:62 11:7
         Chr1 25580 25583 4
NA.2257
                                           20:2
                                                   49:3 0:58 0:3
          Chr1 297002 297016 15
                                                   48:19 0:48 0:9
NA.2517
                                           60:23
                               77
NA.3229
          Chr1 889432 889508
                                       * 2:204
                                                  0:131 75:24 9:3
          Chr1 526399 526607 209
                                       * 113:148 150:192 0:300 0:15
NA.2768
NA.4179
          Chr1 1686726 1686728 3
                                            27:2 24:1 0:30 0:5
           likes DE
                         FDR.DE
                                    FWER.DE
NA.3932 0.9996912 B>A 0.0003088326 0.0003088326
NA.3064 0.9996892 B>A 0.0003098091 0.0006195222
NA.3651 0.9996150 A>B 0.0003348607 0.0010042477
NA.3225 0.9995704 A>B 0.0003585400 0.0014333940
NA.4221 0.9995684 B>A 0.0003731482 0.0018643566
NA.2257 0.9995678 A>B 0.0003829943 0.0022957755
NA.2517 0.9995406 A>B 0.0003939134 0.0027541489
NA.3229 0.9995296 B>A 0.0004034748 0.0032232576
NA.2768 0.9995003 A>B 0.0004141705 0.0037213834
NA.4179 0.9994953 A>B 0.0004232264 0.0042242345
```

Finally, to be a good citizen, we stop the cluster we started earlier:

```
> if(!is.null(cl))
+ stopCluster(cl)
```

Session Info

```
> sessionInfo()

R version 4.4.0 RC (2024-04-16 r86468)

Platform: x86_64-pc-linux-gnu

Running under: Ubuntu 22.04.4 LTS
```

Matrix products: default /home/biocbuild/bbs-3.20-bioc/R/lib/libRblas.so LAPACK: /usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/lapack/liblapack.so.3.10.0 locale: [1] LC_CTYPE=en_US.UTF-8 LC_NUMERIC=C [3] LC_TIME=en_GB LC_COLLATE=C [5] LC_MONETARY=en_US.UTF-8 LC_MESSAGES=en_US.UTF-8 [7] LC_PAPER=en_US.UTF-8 LC_NAME=C [9] LC_ADDRESS=C LC_TELEPHONE=C [11] LC_MEASUREMENT=en_US.UTF-8 LC_IDENTIFICATION=C time zone: America/New_York tzcode source: system (glibc) attached base packages: [1] parallel stats4 graphics grDevices utils datasets stats [8] methods base other attached packages: [1] segmentSeq_2.39.0 ShortRead_1.63.0 [3] GenomicAlignments_1.41.0 SummarizedExperiment_1.35.0 [5] Biobase_2.65.0 MatrixGenerics_1.17.0 [7] matrixStats_1.3.0 Rsamtools_2.21.0 [9] Biostrings_2.73.0 XVector_0.45.0 [11] BiocParallel_1.39.0 GenomicRanges_1.57.0 [13] GenomeInfoDb_1.41.0 IRanges_2.39.0 BiocGenerics_0.51.0 [15] S4Vectors_0.43.0 [17] baySeq_2.39.0 loaded via a namespace (and not attached): [1] SparseArray_1.5.0 bitops_1.0-7 jpeg_0.1-10 [4] lattice_0.22-6 $digest_0.6.35$ evaluate_0.23 $[7] qrid_4.4.0$ RColorBrewer_1.1-3 $fastmap_1.1.1$ [10] jsonlite_1.8.8 $Matrix_1.7-0$ limma_3.61.0 UCSC.utils_1.1.0 [13] BiocManager_1.30.22 httr_1.4.7 [16] codetools_0.2-20 $abind_1.4-5$ cli_3.6.2 BiocStyle_2.33.0 [19] rlang_1.1.3 crayon_1.5.2 [22] DelayedArray_0.31.0 $yaml_2.3.8$ S4Arrays_1.5.0 [25] tools_4.4.0 deldir_2.0-4 interp_1.1-6 [28] locfit_1.5-9.9 GenomeInfoDbData_1.2.12 hwriter_1.3.2.1 [31] R6_2.5.1 png_0.1-8 zlibbioc_1.51.0 [34] pwalign_1.1.0 edgeR_4.3.0 Rcpp_1.0.12 [37] statmod_1.5.0 knitr_1.46 xfun_0.43 [40] latticeExtra_0.6-30 htmltools_0.5.8.1 $rmarkdown_2.26$ [43] compiler_4.4.0

References

- [1] Thomas J. Hardcastle and Krystyna A. Kelly and David C. Baulcombe. *Identifying small RNA loci from high-throughput sequencing data*. Bioinformatics (2012).
- [2] Thomas J. Hardcastle and Krystyna A. Kelly. *Empirical Bayesian analysis of paired high-throughput sequencing data with a beta-binomial distribution*. BMC Bioinformatics (2013).